

## PennDOT Connects Project Initiation Form

Meeting Date 3/23/2017

This form should be completed in conjunction with the proposal screening process. Upon completion, this form should be attached to the screening form. This form is meant to expand on and enhance the information provided in the screening form and to document coordination with local planners.

Project Name: Route 228 Mars RR Bridge West Expansion (ECMS E03625)

Project Location: SR 0228 from SR 3019 (Pittsburgh St) to SR 3021 (Franklin Rd)

**Project Purpose:**

Accommodate increasing traffic flows along Route 228 alongside long-term transportation system needs.

**Project Need:**

The existing roadway does not support current traffic loads.

Growth and land development patterns in/around the project area will further increase future system demands.

**Short Project Description and Scope:**

Widen Route 228 to provide additional capacity between Franklin Rd and Pittsburgh St.

Consider tie-in needs relative to adjacent projects at or west of Franklin Rd, and at or east of Pittsburgh St.

Every transportation project should begin its life as a project that improves safety, mobility, and accessibility for all users: drivers, pedestrians, bicyclists, transit passengers, freight carriers, and area residents and businesses. Early scoping should ensure that the design and development process clearly documents considerations that meet as many objectives as reasonably possible, including maintenance considerations. If the decision is made to not include specific considerations in the project scope, those decisions should be documented, as well. The following sections document various considerations related to these objectives. Supportive web maps are available as a resource for those completing this form on MPMS IQ.

**Pedestrians**

Dedicated pedestrian facilities should be evaluated for all highway projects. Depending on the project's context, these may include elements like a multiuse trail, sidewalk, and crosswalks with supportive elements like flashing beacons. In rural areas, a wider shoulder can serve as a very basic pedestrian path.

1.	<p><b>Pedestrian facilities to be considered:</b></p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Shared roadway/wide shoulder</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Sidewalks</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Crosswalks</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Pedestrian Signalization</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Multi-use trail</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Additional element(s): _____</p> <p>Notes: _____</p> <p><i>Mainline ped travel along this section of PA 228 will not be encouraged as municipalities are focusing on safer parallel routes. However, limited sidewalk segments or connections to/ across PA 228 may be considered at key locations if overall ped system connectivity can be enhanced. Seven Fields noted interest in a ped bridge, though no details were available.</i></p>	<p><b>Pedestrian facilities will NOT be accommodated because (at least one):</b></p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Location is greater than .25 mile from any existing pedestrian facility or public transit stop, and is not recommended for a pedestrian connection in any local, county, or regional plan.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Location has unique site constraints, such as steep slopes.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Safer pedestrian accommodations would drastically increase the overall anticipated project cost (in such cases, consider opportunities to ensure future pedestrian accommodations are not precluded by the design).</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Additional reasons(s) and notes:</p>
----	--	--

**Bicyclists**

Bicycle mobility should be evaluated for all highway projects. Depending on the project's context, improvements may include elements like a multiuse trail, protected bicycle lane, striped bicycle lane (standard or buffered), sharrows, and supportive elements like dashed pavement markings in conflict areas and bicycle detection at traffic signals. In rural areas, a marked shoulder can serve as a very basic bicycle connection, provided it is supplemented with pavement markings in conflict areas as necessary.

2.	<p><b>Bicycle facilities to be considered:</b></p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Multi-use trail</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Protected bike lane</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Striped bike lane (buffered or standard)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Marked shoulder with supplemental pavement markings</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Share the Road Signage</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Additional element(s): _____</p> <p>Notes: _____</p> <p>_____</p> <p>_____</p> <p>_____</p>	<p><b>Bicycle facilities will NOT be accommodated because (at least one):</b></p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Location is greater than 0.5 mile from any existing bicycle facility or public transit stop, and is not recommended for a bicycle connection in any local, county, regional, or state plan.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Location has unique site constraints, such as steep slopes.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Safer bicycle accommodations would drastically increase the overall anticipated project cost (in such cases, consider opportunities to ensure future bicycle accommodations are not precluded by the design).</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Additional reasons(s) and notes:</p> <p><i>Mainline bike travel along PA 228 will not be encouraged as municipalities are focusing on safer parallel routes. This approach is consistent with, for example, long-term Bikeway Planning in Cranberry Twp. including installation of bikeways along the Mars Rd, Hillmont Dr, Mars-Crider Rd route. Limited missing link needs may be considered, if applicable.</i></p>
----	--	---

<b>Public Transit</b> Public transit needs should be evaluated for all highway projects. Depending on the project's context and the nature of area transit service (if any), these may include elements like improved bus stops, sidewalks or other pedestrian ways (see 1.) providing access to stops and stations, transit curb extensions, bus pullouts that are long enough for efficient transit operations, signal schemes that accommodate transit preferentially, or other elements.		
3.	<b>Public transit improvements to be considered:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Improved bus stops <input type="checkbox"/> Sidewalks or pedestrianways providing access to stops or stations <input type="checkbox"/> Transit curb extensions or bus pullouts <input type="checkbox"/> Other transit-preferential elements, including signal treatments <input type="checkbox"/> Additional element(s): _____ Notes: _____ _____ _____ _____	<b>Public transit improvements will NOT be accommodated because (at least one):</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Location is not served by any public transit routes and no new service is identified in any public transit agency plans. <input type="checkbox"/> Location has unique site constraints, such as steep slopes. <input type="checkbox"/> Improved public transit accommodations would drastically increase the overall anticipated project cost (in such cases, consider opportunities to ensure future public transit improvements are not precluded by the design). <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Additional reasons(s) and notes: <i>Cranberry Township's transportation and mobility goals include exploring or advocating for future public transit service, circulator service, and park and ride opportunities. However, no transit service currently utilizes the project corridor, and no formal or definitive plans appear to propose changes at this time.</i>
<b>TSMO &amp; ITS Enhancements</b> Transportation Systems Management and Operations (TSMO) and Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITS) Enhancements should be evaluated for all highway projects. Depending on the project's context and the nature of the needs (if any), this category would include elements necessary to mitigate these issues. For example, there are a wide variety of solutions to address congestion including traffic signal improvements, traffic incident management, active traffic management, and integrated corridor management.		
4.	<b>TSMO and ITS Enhancements to be considered:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> There are multiple types of emergency vehicles responding on this roadway <input type="checkbox"/> There is a future vision/plan of transportation operations and ITS enhancements on this roadway <input type="checkbox"/> This roadway is designated as an official detour route for a Limited Access facility, or is the nearest parallel route to a principal arterial or transit corridor <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Traffic signals on this roadway are connected, or enhancements to connectivity are being considered <input type="checkbox"/> Additional element(s): _____ Notes: _____ <i>Traffic signal systems along the PA 228 corridor in Adams Twp and Seven Fields Borough are connected to and maintained by Cranberry Twp through Inter-Municipal Traffic Signal Maintenance Agreements.</i>	<b>TSMO and ITS Enhancements will NOT be accommodated because (at least one):</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Congestion is currently not an issue within the project's limits or adjacent to its limits <input type="checkbox"/> No opportunities currently exist to improve traffic signal operations <input type="checkbox"/> No opportunities currently exist to connect fiber to PennDOT's TMC <input type="checkbox"/> Improved accommodations would drastically increase the overall anticipated project cost (in such cases, consider opportunities to ensure TSMO and ITS Enhancements are not precluded by the design) <input type="checkbox"/> Additional reasons(s) and notes:



**Freight/Economic Activity/ Manufacturing (Trucking, Rail, Ports, Pipeline)**  
 Freight transportation needs such as those arising from truck operations should be evaluated for all highway projects. Depending on the project's context and the nature of area freight generators and operations, these may include considerations like vertical clearances, bridge weight allowances, pavement design, turning radii, intersection geometry, signage, pavement markings, highway-railroad grade crossings, designated pull/off waiting areas, alternate access, and traffic control devices.

5.	<p><b>Freight considerations:</b></p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Freight operators currently use this roadway</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> There are existing freight generators adjacent to this facility</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> This project is a designated NHS intermodal freight connector and/or serves a concentration of freight generators like industrial parks.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> There is a future vision/plan for freight operations on this transportation facility</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Additional element(s): _____</p> <p><b>Notes:</b> _____  <i>Substantial commercial activity and PA 228 linkages between I-79 and PA 8 influence truck traffic. PA 228 has also been highlighted as a Connector Route on SPC's proposed SW PA Regional Hwy Freight Network</i></p>	<p><b>Freight improvements will NOT be accommodated because (at least one):</b></p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Location is currently not used by any freight operators, there are no significant adjacent freight facilities, and no new operations are identified in any development or freight plans.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Improved freight accommodations would drastically increase the overall anticipated project cost (in such cases, consider opportunities to ensure future freight improvements are not precluded by the design)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Improved freight accommodations would pose significant conflict with other modes.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Additional reasons(s) and notes:</p>
----	--	---

**Stormwater and Green Infrastructure**

Many stormwater retention and infiltration options are available to address flooding and drainage issues within the limits of a project. These may include elements like rain gardens, vegetated bioretention areas (retention basins), vegetated swales, vegetated infiltration gardens, storm water tree trenches, permeable pavements, etc.

6.	<p><b>Stormwater and Green Infrastructure to be considered (including appropriate maintenance agreements):</b></p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Rain garden</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Vegetation bioretention areas</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Vegetated swales</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Vegetated infiltration gardens</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriate stormwater elements to be determined. Determination on specific elements to be made during project design</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Additional element(s): _____</p> <p><b>Notes:</b> _____  <i>Drainage elements will be considered during design per applicable municipal ordinances. No notable concerns were otherwise raised during municipal stakeholder meetings.</i></p>	<p><b>Stormwater and Green Infrastructure will NOT be accommodated because (at least one):</b></p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Stormwater is currently not an issue within the project's limits or adjacent to its limits.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Improved accommodations would drastically increase the overall anticipated project cost (in such cases, consider opportunities to ensure other improvements are not precluded by the design).</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Stormwater problems are the result of previous development with inadequate or no stormwater control. The developer/municipality have been made aware of this issue.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Additional reasons(s) and notes:</p>
----	--	---

<p><b>Other (Utilities, Health, Community/Cultural Events, etc.)</b></p> <p>Other needs should be evaluated for all highway projects. Depending on the project's context and the nature of the needs (if any), this category would include elements necessary to mitigate these issues. Utilities may be present in the area of a proposed project and there may be opportunities to incorporate them into the project or the need to move them to a new location. There may be opportunities for a project to improve public health through transportation by increasing physical activity, decreasing air and noise pollution, and increasing access to goods and services that support public health.</p>		
7.	<p>Other improvements to be considered and maintenance considerations have been made:</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Utility Relocation (to be determined w/ project design)</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Public Health Improvements (increasing physical activity, decreasing air and noise pollution, increasing access to good and services that support public health)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Timing of Community/Cultural Events will be considering during construction</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Additional element(s): _____</p> <p>Notes: _____</p> <p><i>Utility elements will be considered during design. No notable issues were discussed during municipal stakeholder meetings.</i></p> <p><i>Public health improvements may include or overlap with (or not preclude) possible missing link or ped system connectivity/accessibility efforts noted previously under Topic #1.</i></p>	<p>Other improvements will NOT be accommodated because (at least one):</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Utilities are currently not an issue within the project's limits or adjacent to its limits.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> No opportunities currently exist to improve healthy living within the project's limits or adjacent to its limits.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Improved accommodations would drastically increase the overall anticipated project cost (in such cases, consider opportunities to ensure other improvements are not precluded by the design).</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> No Community/Cultural Events currently take place within the project's limits and no known events are planned for the future.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Additional reasons(s) and notes:</p>
<p><b>Public Controversy</b></p> <p>Anticipated substantial public controversy surrounding the project should be considered. Examples of reasons for public controversy include residential and commercial displacements, long detour routes, long construction times, and impacts to environmental, historic or community resources. Identifying potential public controversy early allows for the identification of increased public involvement measures during project scoping.</p>		
8.	<p>Public controversy is anticipated because:</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Likely residential and/or commercial displacements</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Long detour route/long construction time</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Business impacts</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Impacts to environmental, historic or community resources</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other: <u>Work zone congestion / traffic diversions</u></p> <p>Notes: _____</p> <p><i>Notable work zone congestion is anticipated w/ diversion impacts affecting Mars Borough, Mars-Crider Rd, Myoma Rd, Forsythe Rd</i></p>	<p>Public controversy is NOT anticipated (at least one):</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Construction impacts will be minimal</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> No/minimal detour involved</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> No/minimal displacements</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Additional reasons(s) and notes:</p>

<b>Source/References</b> Please list any source or reference documentation used in completing this form, along with any organizations or individuals that were consulted during the project analysis process. Include websites, studies, concept plans, etc. that were used to support the information on this form. Specifically identify any existing plans that include the project or the recommended additions to the project.		
9.	<b>Sources/References Consulted:</b> Cranberry Twp Bike & Pedestrian Plan, 2011; Cranberry Twp Planning & Dev. Services ( <a href="http://www.cranberrytownship.org/1662/Planning">http://www.cranberrytownship.org/1662/Planning</a> )  SW PA Regional Freight Plan, 12/16/2016 ( <a href="http://www.spcregion.org/trans_multi_freight.shtml">http://www.spcregion.org/trans_multi_freight.shtml</a> )	<b>Organizations/Individuals Consulted:</b> 01-17-17 - Stakeholder Mtg* - Cranberry Twp. 01-19-17 - Stakeholder Mtg* - Adams Twp. 02-14-17 - Email* - Seven Fields Borough Mgr. 03-16-17 - Stakeholder Mtg* - Mars Borough  * Refer to minutes/emails for all of the above.

Completed By: WRA (Eric Meyer / Chad Reese)Date 3/23/2017Phone: 724-779-7940Email: emeyer@wrallp.com; creese@wrallp.comReviewed By MPO: Darin AlvianoDate 6/6/2017

Reviewed By PennDOT District:

Mark S. RiquelDate 6/6/17

Reviewed By PennDOT Program Center:

Kevin T. McCulloughDate 6/22/2017

## Noel, Dawn

---

**From:** Darin Alviano <d Alviano@spcregion.org>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 06, 2017 7:59 AM  
**To:** Schilling, Dawn  
**Cc:** Andy Waple  
**Subject:** RE: PennDOT Connects District 10  
**Attachments:** 107505\_GraffCatwalkProject Initiation FormSystem.pdf; 24211\_Project Initiation FormWestHillsBr.pdf; 92908 PennDOT Connects (Route 228 Draft - 3-23-2017) (2).pdf

Dawn, attached are the signed forms.

~Darin

**Darin D. Alviano, MBA** | *Project Delivery Specialist*  
Southwestern Pennsylvania Commission  
Two Chatham Center, Suite 500  
112 Washington Place | Pittsburgh, PA 15219-3451  
Phone: 412.391.5590 | Fax: 412.391.9160  
[www.spcregion.org](http://www.spcregion.org)



Please consider the environment before printing this email